

# **Report #4 Outline: A Study of the National Response Framework and National Contingency Plan During a Major Oil Spill Incident**

*Compare and contrast the two approaches and identify practicable recommendations to align the processes.*

## **1) Executive Summary**

- a) Compare and contrast the National Response Framework and National Contingency Plan as applied to a major oil spill incident and make recommendations, where practicable, to align the processes
- b) Case study for each subsection: a highlight from the DWH or recent experience showing lessons learned (either positive or negative example with focus on how it could be scaled up, improved, etc.)

## **2) Introduction and Purpose Statement**

## **3) Primary Elements of the National Response Framework**

- a) Implementing laws and regulations
- b) Agencies involved
- c) Roles and responsibilities
- d) Response actions
- e) Response organization

## **4) Overview of the National Contingency Plan**

- a) Implementing laws and regulations
- b) Agencies involved
- c) Roles and responsibilities
- d) Response actions
- e) Response organization

## **5) Comparison of the NRF and NCP in Response to Maritime Oil Spills**

## **6) Deepwater Horizon Response**

- a) Summary: how National Response Framework was applied and implemented in Florida
- b) Summary: how National Contingency was applied and implemented in Florida
- c) Summary: how Area Contingency Plan coordination was applied and implemented

## **7) Recommendations for Florida Oil Spill Response**

- a) Organization strategies
- b) Planning strategies
- c) Response strategies
- d) Communication strategies
- e) Monitoring and evaluation strategies

## **8) References**